

Registry: How to match action and MRV support

Cancun marked a very significant step in the climate change negotiations. At Cancun, developing countries agreed to internationalise their voluntary domestic actions. At the same time, a registry of actions was also agreed.

From the remarks made around the room, I have a feeling that there is some misconception about the issue and that some colleagues see it only as a listing of actions that a country may implement with or without support available.

I would like to clarify the understanding of my country in this regard. We believe that Registry is not a vehicle for reporting of actions. This report shall take place through the NATCOMs and their biennial updates in case of developing countries which is the proper place. Registry cannot be used for following and assessing the actions of a developing country.

We had agreed to registry in the context of NAMAs and specific support that such NAMAs will need. Art 4.7 of the Convention is therefore the guiding principle and framework for creation of registry. The registry should be a vehicle to ensure support for nationally appropriate mitigation actions of developing countries.

Voluntary actions that India has announced are a part of our development strategy. But, all these actions cost a tremendous amount of resources. We are in the process of assessing the costs of implementing this strategy. It is fundamental that the global mechanisms should help in providing resources

through the registry. This registry in our view should be an arm of the Green Climate Fund which should receive the proposals and track the actions for support.

Thank you Mr. Chair.
