

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by the Competent Authority on the basis of Decision of the 63rd meeting of Expert Committee held on 3rd-4th November 2015 for appraisal of such applications.

AGENDA ITEM 2.1:IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC

2.1.1M/s Carl Zeiss India, Bengaluru (F.No. 23-19/2012-HSMD):

Decision: During presentation, the Committee noted that some of the items have already been imported without permission of this Ministry and are intended for sale. Since, it is an illegal import the ministry, did not recommend the import. From the documents the Committee also observed that the Customs Department has already given permission to the applicant for the re-export of these items.

2.1.2 M/s Robert Bosch Engineering and Business Solution Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-84/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant proposes to import a simulator system with six components for development/testing of software for braking system and safety of the vehicle. The items will be re-exported within three years. The Committee therefore recommended the import.

2.1.3 M/s Repair & Return Technology (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-169/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The radio frequency test set-up has already been imported for carrying out test on repaired items and will be re-exported within one year. Since, the applicant has imported the item for the first time, the Committee recommended the import. The 347 Blackberry mobile devices are to be re-imported after repair of the defective items which were exported earlier. The Committee recommended the import as per the procedure followed by the Ministry.

2.1.4M/s SanDisk India Device Centre Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-174/2015-HSMD):

Decision: During presentation the applicant stated that these items ((1+4+1) of used EEAs) are being imported from their principals in US and Taiwan for R&D purpose and will be sent back within three years. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.5 M/s Keysight Technologies India Private Limited, Bengaluru (F. No. 23-150/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The period may not be extended and the applicant may be directed to re-export the items (1500 no. of used electrical and electronic equipment and accessories) immediately.

2.1.6 M/s NI Systems India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-166/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The items (168 calibration equipment) are proposed to be imported for calibration of electronic test equipment and will be re-exported within six months. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.7 M/sNokia Solution and Networks India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru(F. No. 23-69/2011-HSMD Vol. II):

Decision: Certification from exporting company for accepting the re-export of defective or second hand EEEs, and the spares/part/component/consumables after their use or once such items achieve end-of-life has to be submitted.

2.1.8 M/s Ericsson India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-81/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has proposed for the import of 10,875 refurbished spare parts for carrying out repair work of the equipment supplied by them. The defective components has been exported earlier for repair/refurbished. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.9 M/s Wipro GE Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru(F. No. 5-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: During presentation the representative of the applicant stated that these items are being imported from G.E.-Hungary where the R&D unit is closed. However, there is no evidence submitted to this effect in the application. These units will be utilized for R&D to support the client base in India where similar equipment has been supplied to various users. These items will not be used on patients. Some of the items are as old as 1999 make but others are of 2006 make. The CEC states that the residual life is varying between 11-20 years. The applicant has been asked to submit a revised application in support of the above statement and also giving justification for importing such old equipment.

2.1.10 M/s Honeywell Technology Solution Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru(F. No. 23-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Certification from exporting company for accepting the re-export of defective or second hand EEEs, and the spares/part/component/consumables after their use or once such items achieve end-of-life has to be submitted.

2.1.11M/s Philips India Limited, Bengaluru(F. No. 23-207/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the spare magnet is of 2011 make and the complete MRI Unit is 2006 make but refurbished by the OEM in 2015. Moreover, the machine will be covered by warranty for a period of 10 years by Philips India. The Committee recommended the import.

2.1.12M/s Hewlett Packard Enterprise India Private Limited, Gurgaon (F. No. 23-31/2011-HSMD):

Decision: In view of the document submitted by the applicant on their declared policy of using either new or used parts giving equivalent performance and reliability of the new parts, the Ministry recommended the import (33,000 refurbished spare parts).

2.1.13M/s Moog Controls India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-172/2015-HSMD):

Decision: These used 200 motors are being imported for carrying out repairs and sending back to China within three months. These motors have originally been supplied by the applicant. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.14 M/s Kone Elevator India Pvt. Ltd., Chennai (F. No. 23-139/2015-HSMD):

Decision: During presentation the applicant stated that the items (2 pallets of used Lift Controller electrification and drive Panel Schindler and used Elevator Traction Motor Schindler) are of 2010 make and are intended to be used for R&D purpose and not for use in elevators. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.15 M/s Radisys India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-49/2014-HSMD):

Decision: These items (4 nos. of ATCA BLADE DSPA-8901EPG2) are being imported for R&D, are of 2013 make and will be re-exported within 3 years. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.16M/s Sigtech Wireless Technologies Pvt. Ltd(F. No. 23-143/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the presentation made by the applicant, the Committee noted that the applicant is a small scale unit carrying out testing of printed circuit boards. The machines have already been imported for carrying out tests and are of 2006 make and have been refurbished in 2015. As per CEC the residual life is 10 years. In view of the fact that it is first time import, the Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.17 M/s Enlume Technologies Pt. Ltd., Hyderabad (F. No. 23-155/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant should submit an authenticated document that the project has been extended by the importer.

2.1.18M/s BEML Limited Bengaluru, (F. No. 23-182/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The item has to be imported for testing and commissioning work at metro project in Bengaluru and will be sent back. The Ministry recommended the import with the stipulation that the item will be re-exported within three years.

2.1.19M/s Abbott Medical Optics Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-54/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the policy of replacing faulty parts/components can be with the new parts or refurbished parts at the discretion of the applicant. This is the standard condition in the service agreement with the clients. Based on the copy of such a service agreement with this condition already incorporated, the Ministry therefore recommended the import (3 and 374 no. of spare parts).

2.1.20M/s Samsung India Electronics Pvt. Ltd. Noida (F. No. 23-86/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the application is for import of 2 sets of 2nd hand testing equipment for R&D purpose from the parent company in Korea. The applicant has enclosed copy of undertaking including re-export within 7years whereas the document from the parent company in Korea indicates the commitment for taking it back within 5 years or whenever it becomes non-functional, whichever is earlier. The CEC enclosed indicate the year of manufacture as 2014. Taking note of the above, the Ministry recommended the import subject to the condition of re-export within 5 years or whenever it becomes non-functional, whichever is earlier and subject to the receipt of composition of two sets in terms of list of main items along with the list of accessories.

2.1.21M/s Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, New Delhi(F. No. 23-122/2013-HSMD):

Decision: These items (46 nos. of used electronic goods) are being imported for testing of the propulsion system of the metro trains from their principals and will be exported back within two years. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.22 M/s Tejas Networks Ltd., Bengaluru:

Decision: The Committee noted that the faulty and defective items (228) are being imported for repair and the repaired components will be re-exported within a period of 3 months. The Ministry therefore recommended the import and the re-export.

2.1.23 Schneider Electric IT Business India Pvt. Ltd, Bengaluru (F.No. 23-196/2014):

Decision: The Committee noted that these UPS(s) were exported by the applicant. 43 of UPS systems are being sought to be imported for repair/upgradation. After repair/ upgradation, all the 43 items will be re-exported within a period of one year. The Ministry therefore recommended the import and the re-export.

AGENDA ITEM NO.2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP ETC.:

2.2.1 M/s Pandy oxides & Chemicals Ltd., Andhra Pradesh- Unit II (F. No. 23-87/2009-HSMD)(Vol.-II):

Decision: A site visit by Central Pollution Control Board is recommended.

2.2.2 M/s Bhagwati Meralloys Pvt. Ltd., Patna (F. No. 23-168/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the video presentation before the committee by the applicant and the reports submitted of monitoring, the Ministry recommended site visit by CPCB and subsequent to the receipt of the inspection report the case will be re-considered.

2.2.3 M/s Shri Anand Battery Jalgaon (F. No. 23-73/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the video shown by the applicant, the housekeeping in the plant including the storage area of the raw material was observed to be very poor. The unit has so far processed only 100 tonnes of lead scrap. The battery breaking operation is reported to be manual. The capacity of the plant as per the registration is 600 MT/annum. The Ministry recommended site visit by CPCB and will reconsider the case subsequent to the submission of the inspection report.

2.2.4 M/s Nile Limited (F.No. 23-139/2014-HSMD), Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh:

Decision: Based on the inspection report by CPCB, the Committee recommended the import of 18,000 MT of fully drained lead acid batteries (RAINS).

2.2.5 Jain Metals Rolling Mills (F.No.23-130/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report by CPCB, the Committee recommended the import of 6,000 MT of lead scrap ISRI Code Radio, Racks, Rails, Ropes, Relay, Rakes, Rents.

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1 M/s EcopetPolyplast Industries, Kalol, Gujarat (F.No. 23-177/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the video presentation, the Committee recommended initially import of 2400 MT of PET scrap bottles for making PET chips/flakes. The balance quantity of 5600 MT will be considered after the site visit by CPCB.

2.3.2 M/s Kirti Industries, Falna, Rajasthan (F. No. 17-10/2010-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given NOC for import of 2000 MT of cellulose/Plastic waste Scrap (virgin) earlier in 2011-2012. The Ministry recommended import of 1980 MT of cellulose/plastic waste scrap (virgin).

2.3.3 M/s R.K. International, Falna, Rajasthan(F. No. 5-33/2010-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given NOC for import of 1000 MT of cellulose/ Plastic waste Scrap (virgin) earlier in July 2014. The Ministry recommended import of 1000 MT of cellulose/plastic waste scrap (virgin).

2.3.4 Jayachandran Plastics Limited, Coimbatore (F.No. 17-5/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given NOC initially for the import of 1500 MT of PET bottle scrap. Now, based on the inspection report submitted by CPCB, the Ministry recommended import of balance quantity of 3500 MT of PET bottle Scrap.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.

2.4.1 M/s Vinora Industries, Chennai (F. No. 23-136/2014-HSMD):

Decision: From the inspection report by CPCB, the Committee noted that a number of suggestions have been made for improvement which should be conveyed to the applicant for implementation. The case will be re-considered on receipt of confirmation of implementation of the suggestions of CPCB.

2.4.2 M/s Sreedevi Plasti-Tech Pvt. Ltd., Mallepally(V), Medak (F. No. 5-12/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the inspection report by CPCB, the Committee noted that except for covering of transfer points suggested by CPCB, the unit has all other requisite pollution control systems in place. The Ministry recommended the import of 1200 MT of rubber tyre scrap for producing reclaimed rubber. The next application would be considered only after receipt of evidence of having implemented the suggestions made by CPCB inspection team.

2.4.3 M/s Valmerk Rubber Industries, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan (F. No. 23-179/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the video presentation, the Committee noted that a no. of operations which were claimed to be carried out was not visible. Moreover, the quantity of used rubber tyres proposed to be imported is quite large and the proposed product namely chips need further processing before being put to use. The Committee therefore suggested site visit by a sub-committee before considering the case.

2.4.4 M/s Indian International, Bulandshahr (U.P) (F. No. 23-97/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee went through the report of the inspection team which visited the unit on 6th October 2015 and the subsequent letter from the applicant along with photographs showing improvements as suggested by the inspection team. Based on the present capacity of the plant of 3,000 TPA and the fact that the magnetic separator has not yet been installed, the Ministry recommended import of 1000 MT of waste multi-cut nylon tyres only.

2.4.5 M/s RS Shreadon, Greater Noida, U.P. (F.No. 23-181/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the video presentation by the applicant and taking into consideration the size of the plant the Committee decided to have a site visit by a sub-committee and consider the case for import based on the site visit report.

2.4.6 M/s N.C. John & Sons(P) Ltd., Alleppey, Kerala(F. No. 23-122/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant is proposing to import rubber powder crumb/granules or reclaimed rubber obtained from waste tyres. Since these items are not included under Schedule III B (B 3140). The NOC from the Ministry is not required.

2.4.7 M/s Bharathi Cement Corporation Limited, Hyderabad(F. No. 23-248/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the improvements earlier suggested by the Committee have been incorporated and the trial run has been successfully completed based on the domestically available scrap tyres. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of 10,000 MT of used tyres scrap for co-processing in cement kilns.

2.4.8 M/s Balaji Rubber Industries, Moga, Punjab(F.No. 23-131/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee agreed to the addition of words baled and pressed in the earlier NOC.

2.4.9 M/s GulatiUdyogBhillai, Chattisgarh (F. No. 23-19/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given NOC for import of 15,000 MT of used rubber tyres/scrap tyres in March 2015. The Committee also noted that the applicant has provided details of earlier imports, sales invoices of the crumb rubber product as well as the documents showing the excise duty paid by the applicant. The Ministry recommended import of 22,500 MT of tyre scrap.

2.4.10 M/s Shree Mangal Radhika Industries, Sonapat(F. No. 23-55/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee agreed to the addition of words baled and pressed in the earlier NOC as well as addition of Europe as exporting country/ continent.

2.4.11M/s Tinna rubber and Infrastructure Limited New Delhi(F. No. 5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision: Considering the various issues involved, the Committee recommended a presentation by the applicant in the next meeting.

2.4.12M/s Kohinnor Rubber, Hyderabad (F.No. 23-45/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given NOC for the import of 1200 MT of tyre scrap in 2014.The Committee recommended import of 1200 MT of tyre scrap.

2.4.13M/s Radiant Udyog Pvt. Ltd. (F.No. 5-24/2013-HSMD)Nagpur:

Decision: The representative who came for presentation was not able to explain any technical details and admitted that he was a non-technical person and did not know anything about the process. The Committee also went through the report sent by MPCB based on the inspection carried out by their regional office. According to the report all the systems as per the SOP formulated by TRC have been installed. However, it is not clear whether the pyrolyser has been operated after installation of the systems as per the SOP. As per a report received from another applicant who tried his pyrolyser as per the SOP certain difficulties are encountered during operation. In view of this the Committee has suggested the following:

- i. To get a report of operation of the plant by the applicant namely Radiant Udyog Ltd. and make a presentation thereafter.
- ii. To invite the other applicant, M/s Lubok who has carried out a no. of trials on various sizes of chips/crumbs to make a presentation.
- iii. To make a site visit of both the units, in an effort to standardize the SOP for pyrolysis batch process.

2.4.14 M/s Eastman Reclamations, Jalandhar (F. No. 5-8/2012-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report submitted by CPCB, the Ministry recommended import of 3300 MT of rubber tyre scrap for making reclaimed rubber.

2.4.15M/s Jayant Wire Industries, Solan (F.No. 23-62/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the inspection report submitted by CPCB is quite adverse. According to the report there is hardly any plant or machinery for making crumb rubber and the video shown to the committee as well as to the inspection team has no relation to the site conditions. The Committee therefore did not recommend the import. The Committee was also shocked to see that the concerned SPCB has even granted CTO despite such a situation. The issue has to be brought to the notice of Central Pollution Control Board and Himachal Pollution Control Board should be issued directions to cancel the NOC/ consent issued to the applicant.

2.4.16M/s Precision Auto Industries, Baddi (23-61/2014- HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the inspection report submitted by CPCB is quite adverse. According to the report there is hardly any plant or machinery for making crumb rubber and the

video shown to the committee as well as to the inspection team has no relation to the site conditions. The Committee therefore did not recommend the import. The Committee was also shocked to see that the concerned SPCB has even granted CTO despite such a situation. The issue has to be brought to the notice of Central Pollution Control Board and Himachal Pollution Control Board should be issued directions to cancel the NOC/ consent issued to the applicant.

2.4.17 M/s Telangana Rubber Industry, Telangana (F. No. 23-140/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the inspection report by CPCB, the Committee noted that a number of suggestions have been made for improvement which should be conveyed to the applicant for implementation. The case will be re-considered on receipt of confirmation of implementation of the suggestions of CPCB.

AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF USED OIL.

2.5.1 M/s Plus Lubricants, Mumbai (F. No. 23-109/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the registration for used oil processing is for 7500 KLA. The Committee therefore recommended the import of 2500 KL of used oil meeting the specifications as mentioned in Schedule V - A of HW Rules, 2008.

AGENDA NO. 2.6: IMPORT OF FERRO MANGANESE SLAG

2.6.1 M/s Modern India Con-Cast Ltd., Kolkata (F. No. 5-12/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given NOC for the import of 13,000 MT of Ferro-manganese slag in 2014. The details of use of 13,000 MT of the earlier grant may be submitted.

AGENDA NO.2.7 : IMPORT OF SPENT CATALYST

2.7.1 M/s Eurecat India Catalyst Services Pvt. Ltd., Bharuch, Gujarat (F. No. 23-129/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the spent catalyst is proposed to be imported only for regeneration and will be re-exported after carrying out regeneration. The Ministry recommended the import of 500 MT of spent catalyst (B1120) from refineries and petrochemical complexes.

2.7.2. M/s Hindustan Platinum Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 23-98/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry agreed to the inclusion of other countries as well in the previous permission.