

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by the Competent Authority on the basis of Decision of the 64th meeting of Expert Committee held on 17th-18th December 2015 for appraisal of such applications.

AGENDA ITEM 2.1: IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES (EEAs), ETC.

2.1.1 M/s Cochlear Medical Devices Company (I) Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai(F. No. 5-25/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the items (1979 nos. of used refurbished/repared medical equipment) are proposed to be imported for replacement of defected components in hearing aid. The items are of low value and are meant for personal use of patients. The justification for import of used items for such application is not clear. The Ministry, therefore, recommended that the applicant may be asked for presentation and technical discussion.

2.1.2 M/s Hical Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-170/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the documents, the Committee observed that the items seem to have already been imported. The Committee, therefore, recommended that the applicant may be asked to come and explain the circumstances under which the items have been imported without the permission of this Ministry. The Committee, however, noted that the items are of their own make and have been imported for repair and re-export.

2.1.3 M/s Embytes Solution Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-186/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee considered the application for the import of 7 items comprising of lap-top, power supply, USB Dongle, etc. for a specific project of M/s Johnson Control, a US based company. The Committee also noted that all these items shall be re-exported back to the supplier within a period of 30 months. The Ministry, therefore, recommended the import.

2.1.4 M/s Intel Technology India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-76/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the renewal of earlier permission of April 2014 with revised no. of 9390 of used EEAs on the same terms and conditions stipulated earlier.

2.1.5 M/s Bloom Energy (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-161/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant manufactures computer control power conditioning systems and has been exporting them to different countries around the world. The import of 1525 nos. of above stated systems are being imported into India for repair and refurbishment. After the repair and refurbishing, all these systems will be re-exported including those systems which are beyond repair. The Ministry, therefore, recommended the import of 1525 no. of computer control power conditioning systems and their re-export.

2.1.6 M/s Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited., Ghaziabad, U.P.(F. No. 23-195/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the year of manufacture of one of the equipment is 2007 and for the other it is not stated. However, the inspection report by the inspection agency is quite elaborate. Moreover, the items are mostly mechanical in nature. The Ministry recommended the import of 13.1 M parabolic satellite antenna including its control system and two spares unused jack screws and 13.1 M Antenna C-Band port Circular polarized feed.

2.1.7 M/s Alcatel-Lucent India Limited, Bangalore (F. No. 23-68/2011-HSMD):

Decision: For item no. 1, the PCBs are being imported for repair and re-export within 6 months from the date of import. The Ministry recommended the import with the stipulation that the items will be re-exported within 6 months from the date of import.

For the item no. 2 which are 8 nos. of test benches and are of 2009 make and as per the CEC the residual life is 10 years, the Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.8 M/s Centum Electronics Limited, Bangalore (F. No. 23-190/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the documents submitted by the applicant, there is no clarity on the purpose for which the used and waste electronic items are proposed to be imported in quantity of 5 MT. The Ministry, therefore, recommended that the applicant may be called for presentation and technical discussion in the next meeting.

2.1.9 M/s Federal Express Corporation, Bangalore (F. No. 23-191/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the item has already been imported. It is an important spare for the safety of the aircraft. The item will be kept within the custom bonded area in the airside of the International Airport. The faulty part will remain on the aircraft and will be sent back to the country of origin. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.10 M/s Lotus Labs Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-157/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The proposal is for import of 8 sets of used Electronic equipment (Mass Spectrometer and liquid Chromatography MS with accessories). They are of 2002-03 and 2007-08 make. No refurbishing has been done. It is likely that they are already obsolete and facilities for their repairs may not be available. The Ministry, therefore, did not recommend the import.

2.1.11 M/s Hewlett-Packard India Sales Pvt. Ltd., Navi Mumbai (F. No. 23-31/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The items proposed to be imported are of 2008-2009 make and have been refurbished at HP factory in Israel. The residual life as reported in the CEC is 10 years. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.12 M/s Anite Telecoms India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-180/2015-HSMD):

Decision: From the documents submitted, the Committee noted that the items have already been imported without the permission of this Ministry. Since this is the first time import, the Ministry recommended that the applicant may be called for technical presentation and to explain the circumstances under which the import has been made.

2.1.13 M/s Emulex Communications Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-48/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee recommended the extension of time for import by 18 months for 1148 items with the stipulation that they will be sent back within 3 years from the date of import. The Ministry also recommended the import of 1175 networking equipment with the stipulation that they will be sent back within 3 years from the date of import.

2.1.14 M/s ADS Diagnostic Ltd., New Delhi (F. No. 23-274/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The fact that the Chartered Engineer has examined all these items (Radiological Low radiation electronic equipment) in

working conditions and has given more than 10 years as residual life in the certificate. The Ministry, therefore, recommended the import.

2.1.15M/s Schneider Electric IT Business India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-196/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee considered the import of only 22 nos. of UPS which are being imported for repair and re-export within a period of one year from the date of import. The Ministry recommended the import. For other items, the application will be considered when the complete information is available for them.

2.1.16 M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore(F. No. 23-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the items are being imported for testing and validation purpose and will be re-exported within 11 months. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.17M/s Samsung India Electronics Pvt. Ltd., Noida(F. No. 23-86/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The five items proposed to be imported are for calibration and will be re-exported within 3 months from the date of import. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.18 M/s Flextronics Technologies (I) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-94/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the items are of 2004-05 onwards make and there is no CEC submitted. The Ministry, therefore, deferred the case.

2.1.19 M/s BEML Limited Bengaluru, (F. No. 23-182/2015)-HSMD:

Decision: Based on the information provided now, the Ministry recommended the import of additional 8 items under the same terms and conditions as given in the earlier NOC.

2.1.20M/s VXL Instruments Limited, Bangalore (F.No. 23-202/2015-HSMD):

Decision: These items (38 nos. of EEAs) are their own make and had been exported earlier. Now, they are being imported for repairs and to be re-exported within three months from the date of import. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.21M/s Tejas Networks Limited (F.No.23-183-2015 HSMD):

Decision: Despite the reminders of the Ministry, the applicant has not given an undertaking for re-export of the used parts after repair in

India. In the absence of the undertaking, the Committee deferred the case.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP, ETC.

2.2.1 M/s Kothari Metallurgical Exports Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 23-50/2009-HSMD):

Decision: Considering the fact that the applicant was granted the permission to import lead scrap (as per ISRI code: Rents, Radio, Relay, Rails, Rakes, Racks, Ropes) earlier as well, the Ministry, therefore, recommended the import of 2400 MT of above stated lead scrap based on annual capacity stated in registration issue by Maharashtra Pollution Control Board.

2.2.2 M/s POCL Enterprises Ltd., Chennai (F. No. 23-50/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has obtained registration from CPCB after site visit. Now, the unit has obtained fresh registration from Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board. According to the representative, the unit was not run till June 2015. The registration is for 10,000 MT. The Ministry recommended import of 1500 MT of lead scrap (as per ISRI code: Rents, Radio, Relay, Rails, Rakes, Racks, Ropes). The balance quantity of 2000 MT shall be considered subsequent to the site visit by CPCB.

2.2.3 M/s Pondy oxides & Chemicals Ltd., Andhra Pradesh- Unit II (F. No. 23-87/2009-HSMD)(Vol.-II):

Decision: Based on the inspection Report of CPCB, the ministry recommended the import of 5000 MT of Lead scrap.

2.2.4 M/s Sandeep Lead Alloys (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-252/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection Report of CPCB, the Ministry recommended the import of 6000 MT of Lead scrap (as per ISRI code: Rents, Radio, Relay, Rails, Rakes, Racks, Ropes).

2.2.5 M/s Pilot Industries Ltd. (F. No.23-213/2012-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report submitted by Shri Dang, Expert Member, the Ministry recommended import of 5,000MT of used and drained lead acid batteries (RAINS).

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1 M/s BLS Ecotech Ltd., New Delhi(F. No. 17-9/2014-HSMD):

Decision: While the last permission was given for import of 10,000 MT of PET Bottle waste/scrap vide O.M. dated 10.6.2014, the

Ministry, therefore, recommended import of only 2500 MT PET Bottles waste scrap. The balance quantity will be considered for permission subsequent to the site visit by CPCB.

2.3.2 M/s Nirmal Fibres Pvt. Ltd., U.P.(F. No. 17-20/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The last permission was given for import of 5,000 MT of PET Bottle waste/scrap/PET Flakes vide O.M. dated 16.12.2014, and records also state that the unit has been inspected by CPCB. The Ministry, therefore, recommended the import of 5000 MT of PET Bottle waste/scrap/PET Flakes.

2.3.3 M/s Seven star Polytex Pvt. Ltd., Indore, M.P.(F. No. 23-194/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended site visit before considering the case for import. The Committee noted that one of the committee members, Shri K.P. Nyati would be near Indore, shortly. It is, therefore, suggested that he may visit the unit and based on his report, the matter would be considered in the next meeting.

2.3.4 M/s Ganesha Ecophere Ltd., Delhi (F. No. 17-9/2010-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the unit was inspected by the CPCB team and the report is found to be satisfactory. The unit has the capacity to produce 81 MT (27,000 MT per annum) of polyester staple per day. The unit has also been granted import permission earlier for 15,000 MT based on the other plastics that come with the PET bottle such as labels, caps and the processing losses. The Ministry, therefore, now recommended the import of 15,000 MT of PET Bottle scrap.

2.3.5 M/s Laxmi Remote India Pvt. Ltd., Noida, U.P.(F.No.5-37/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The CPCB team has visited the unit on 4th November 2015 to assess the adequacy of facilities from the environmental point of view. The report is satisfactory especially in terms of housekeeping and proper pollution control systems including their maintenance. Considering the capacity of the unit of 9950 MTA as assessed by the CPCB team, the Ministry now recommended permission of import of 3000 MT of ABS, HIPS and poly-carbonate over and above the permission of 1000 MT given earlier.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.

2.4.1 M/s Green Rubber Crumb Pvt. Ltd., Raigad, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-186/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has been given NOC in 2014 for the import of 10,000 MT of tyre scrap. However, the unit has not been visited by CPCB nor has the applicant provided details of products made and sold. The Committee recommended site visit by CPCB and information

to be obtained from the applicant on the products made and details of sale of the products before considering the case for import.

2.4.2 M/s Karanja Industries Pvt. Ltd., Bidar, Karnataka (F. No. 23-70/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the addition of exporting names as requested by the applicant.

2.4.3 M/s S.E. Power Limited, Gujarat (F. No. 5-36/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The unit has been visited by CPCB and recommended the import earlier in March 2015. The Ministry, therefore, recommended the import of 4000 MT of multi-cut press baled tyre scrap.

2.4.4 M/s Jet Airways(India) Ltd., Mumbai(F. No. 23-187/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The proposal is for export of 9631 nos. of Aircraft Tyres for retreading/repair and to be imported after retreading/repair. The Ministry recommended the export and subsequently the import after retreading.

2.4.5 M/s Telangana Rubber Industry, Ranga Reddy Distt.(F. No. 23-140/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The report of site visit by CPCB had suggested installation of hood along with APCD. The representative of the unit has submitted the photographs and the CD showing the systems installed based on the site visit. The Committee noted that the capacity of the unit is 50,000 MTA. From the equipment installed for handling and processing does not appear to justify such a high capacity. The Ministry, therefore, recommended import of only 7000 MT of multi-cut tyre scrap (pressed and baled).

2.4.6 M/s Shree Rubber Tech, Nagpur (F. No. 23-45/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the addition of 'baled and pressed' and addition of ICD/Ports, as requested in the earlier NOC.

2.4.7 M/s Nutec Oil and Energy Pvt. Ltd., Chennai (F. No. 23-306/2012-HSMD):

Decision: From the information provided by the applicant subsequent to the site visit by Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board (TNPCB) and the presentation made by the representative, the Committee noted the following:

- i. Out of three deficiencies pointed out by TNPCB, two have been complied with, by the applicant.
- ii. The third deficiency namely installation of flaring system, which is an important safety system, is expected to be installed within 2 weeks.
- iii. The Committee would like to confirm the capacity of the reactor claimed to be 3 tonnes/hour during actual operation. The

Committee recommended initially import of 1000 MT of multi-cut scrap tyres for the continuous pyrolysis plant so that the sub-Committee of this Committee could see the operating plant. The balance quantity will be considered based on the site inspection.

2.4.8 M/s R.S. Rubber Industries, Delhi (F. No. 23-262/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the unit has been given NOC for 3500 MT of rubber tyre scrap in 2014. The applicant has also provided details of earlier import and products made and sold to. However, the unit has not been inspected yet. The Ministry recommended import of only 1000 MT rubber tyres scrap (multiple cuts/shreds/press baled) initially, the balance quantity of 2500 MT will be considered subsequent to site visit by CPCB.

2.4.9 M/s India Eco Venture Tyre Recycling(P) Ltd., Alwar, Rajasthan(F. No. 23-200/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended site visit by CPCB before considering the case for import.

2.4.10M/s Platinum Rubbers Pvt. Ltd., Shamli, U.P.(F.No.5-11/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given NOC in May 2014. The Ministry now recommended import of 500 MT of used and scraps tyres (multi-cut). The balance quantity of 1000 MT shall be considered only after site visit by CPCB.

2.4.11M/s Ocean Rubber Industries, Gujarat (F. No. 23-87/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has installed fibre separator and housekeeping has also been improved. The Ministry, therefore, recommended initially import of 400 MT of scrap tyre (multi-cut) only the remaining quantity of 900 MT shall be considered only after the site visit by CPCB.

2.4.12M/s Shivani Network, Ahmedabad (F. No. 23-287/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant had been given NOC for import of 2500 MT of tyres scrap in March, 2015. The capacity of the unit is 30,000 MT per annum. The applicant has provided the details of product made and sold. However, site visit has not yet been made. The Ministry recommended import of only 2500 MT of scrap tyres and the import of balance quantity of 7500 MT will be considered after site visit by CPCB.

2.4.13 M/s S&J Granulate Solutions (P) Ltd., Mumbai(F. No. 5-19/2011-HSMD(pt.):

Decision: The applicant was given NOC for import of 17,000 MT of tyre scrap in July 2015. The applicant has claimed that he has already processed more than 80% of the material and has requested NOC for further import. However, the unit has not been inspected yet. The Ministry recommended site visit by CPCB before any further import is considered.

2.4.14 M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd., New Delhi (5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision:

i. The Committee discussed the issue raised by the Customs Department about the material imported against NOC in the name of a particular unit and bill of entry at ICD Loni shows the importer as another unit of the same company. The Committee has been recommending NOC for a particular unit only taking into consideration its capacity, validity of consent, adequacy of PCS, details of products made etc. In case, the NOC is for multiple units of the same company, the names of all those units of the company will naturally appear in the NOC. If a company has imported material on the NOC issued for one particular unit, that material cannot be diverted to any other unit or for any purpose other than the one stated in the NOC.

So far, the Committee has been receiving applications for individual units even for companies having multiple units. In case, some company applies for single NOC for multiple units, the Committee will go through the details regarding capacity, validity of CTO, products and environmental protection systems, past performance, etc. of all of them and then only recommend NOC of units which meet the above requirements. As requested by the applicant, the IEC code as well as '2-3 cut' in place of 'multi-cut' should not be used in the NOC.

ii. From Form 7 & 8, the Committee observed that the name of the importer is given as four units of Tinna Rubber whereas the covering letter mentions proposed import for Panipat unit only. The Committee therefore suggested that the applicant should revise the Form 7 and Form 8 or the covering letter and resubmit the application.

2.4.15 M/s Royal Carbon black private Limited (F.No. 5-15/2010-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noting that the certificate for compliance with SOP from the concerned State Pollution Control Board has not been submitted by the applicant deferred the decision on the matter till receipt of the same.

2.4.16 M/s Sohanlal Shantilal Jain (23-116/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that import of only waste pneumatic tyres (B3140 of Schedule III B) is regulated under Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008. All the other items moulded rubber scrap /conveyor belt scrap / rubber article scraps /pairings/rubberized nylon friction cord/rubberized steel friction cord/rubber compound/lumps (cut/press/ baled/ lumps/ sheet /rolls)are not regulated for import purpose under HW Rules, 2008.

2.4.17 M/s Vira Reclaim Rubber Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (Telengana) (F. No. 23-269/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the unit was inspected by CPCB and certain improvements were suggested. Since, no response has yet come from the applicant, the case was deferred. The unit may be intimated accordingly for carrying out the improvement as suggested by CPCB and submit the evidence of the same to the CPCB and Ministry.

2.4.18 M/s Sreemrr Eco Green Industries Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (No.23-134/2013-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the report by CPCB the Ministry recommended import of 15000 MT of scrap rubber tyre (multi-cut).

2.4.19 M/s Zion Industries, Kottayam, Kerala (F. No. 23-6/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the inspection of the unit has been carried out by CPCB. It was observed that the plant has not been commissioned yet. The Ministry recommended that the applicant may be informed to commission the plant and intimate the Zonal office of CPCB so that the visit can be carried out. The case will be considered thereafter.

2.4.20 M/s Parekh Rubber Company, Anand, Gujarat(F. No. 23-136/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report of CPCB, the improvements suggested need to be carried out and the evidence of the same needs to be submitted to CPCB and the Ministry, subsequently the case will be considered for import.

2.4.21 M/s S.P. Rubber, Chittoor (F. No. 23-234/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report of CPCB, the improvements suggested need to be carried out and the evidence of the same needs to be submitted to CPCB and the Ministry, subsequently the case will be considered for import.

2.4.22 M/s Balaji Rubber Industries Pvt. Ltd., Salem, TN (F.No. 23-133/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report of CPCB, the improvements suggested need to be carried out and the evidence of the same needs to be submitted to CPCB and the Ministry, subsequently the case will be considered for import.

2.4.23 M/s AP Rubber Reclaimers Pvt. Ltd., A.P. (F. No. 23-30/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report by CPCB, the Ministry recommended the import of 1200 MT of rubber scrap.

2.4.24 M/s Coastal Rubbers Pvt. Ltd., A.P.(F. No. 23-29/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the inspection report by CPCB, the Ministry recommended the import of 1500 MT of rubber scrap.

2.4.25 M/s Mahalakshmi Rubber Industries, Secunderabad (F. No. 23-76/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The committee noted that the inspection of the unit has been carried out by CPCB. It was observed that the plant has not been commissioned yet. The Ministry recommended that the applicant may be informed to commission the plant and intimate the Zonal office of CPCB so the visit can be carried out. The case will be considered thereafter.

2.4.26 M/s Someshwara Rubber Products Pvt. Ltd., Rangareddy Distt(F. No. 23-103/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the inspection of the unit has been carried out by the CPCB. It was observed that plant has not been commissioned yet. The Ministry recommended that the applicant may be informed to commission the plant and intimate the Zonal office of CPCB so the visit can be carried out. The case will be considered thereafter.

2.5: IMPORT OF GLASS CULLET.

2.5.1 M/s Piramal Glass Ltd., Barouche (F. No. 23-109/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the material falls under B 2020 of Schedule III Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 and is proposed to be used as a supplement in glass making by the applicant. The Ministry recommended import of 5000 MT of non-activated glass cullet.

AGENDA No. 3: EXPORT OF CATALYST

3.1.1 M/s General Motors India Pvt Ltd., Halol, Gujarat (F. No. 23-142/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended export of 80,000 units of used automobile catalytic convertor for recycling.

3.1.2 M/s Vans Chemistry Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore(F. No. 23-126/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended export of 100 MT automobile Catalyst for recycling to Germany.

3.1.3 M/s Tamilnadu Petroproducts Limited (F. No. 23-108/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended export of 1000 MT of spent catalyst to England for recycling.

AGENDA NO.3.2: EXPORT OF ALUMINIUM SKIMS/DROSS.

3.2.1 M/s Vedanta Limited, Odisha(F. No. 23-201/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The item falls under Schedule III, B1100 of Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary) Rules, 2008. The Committee noted that presently there is no established technology in the country for complete recovery of metallic aluminium from the skimmings. The Ministry recommended the export of 50,000 MT of Aluminium Skimmings/Dross.

AGENDA NO.3.3: EXPORT OF LD SLUDGE.

3.3.1 M/s Orind Steels Limited (F. No. 23-15/2015-HSMD)

Decision: The composition of the LD sludge and results of the TCLP is awaited, therefore the case is deferred.

3.4 The Committee also deliberated on the request received from Container Corporation of India Limited (CCIL) for clearing uncleared/unclaimed imported cargo (old helicopter) lying uncleared/unclaimed since 2011.

Decision: Since this item (uncleared/unclaimed imported cargo (old helicopter)) is not covered under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary) Rules, 2008, the Committee has no comment on the matter.

