

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous and other Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2016 as approved by the Competent Authority on the basis of Decision of the 67th meeting of Expert Committee held on 29th -30th March 2016 for appraisal of such applications

AGENDA ITEM 2.1: IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES (EEAs), ETC.

2.1.1 M/s Accord Software & Systems Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F.no. 23-2/2016-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the item to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from this Ministry.

2.1.2 M/s Thales India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No. 23-223/2013-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the items to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from MoEF &CC.

2.1.3 M/s Keysight Technologies, Bangalore (F. No. 23-150/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the CEC from the exporting country which states that these items/parts after refurbishment are as good as new. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of 642 items.

2.1.4.M/s Philips India Ltd., Gurgaon (23-207/2014):

Decision: Out of the two units one MRI and one IXR proposed to be imported now, the IXR unit is of 2006 make and taking the life of equipment into consideration and being medical equipment used for diagnosis, the Committee did not recommend the import. The MRI unit

is of 2010 make and has been refurbished by the OEM certified by the CE with a residual life of 10 years. The Ministry recommended the import.

In respect of the earlier proposal discussed in the previous meeting the year of manufacture being 2005, the Committee re-endorsed the earlier decision of not recommending the import.

2.1.5 M/s Harman Connected Services Corporation India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-44/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the list of items proposed to be imported is signed by a CE from India without any comments on functionality etc. The Committee therefore suggested that the applicant should obtain certification from a CE in the exporting country before the case is considered for import.

2.1.6 M/s Samsung India Electronics Pvt. Ltd., Noida (F. No. 23-86/2011-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the items to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from MoEF &CC.

2.1.7 M/s Hewlett-Packard India Sales Pvt. Ltd., Navi Mumbai (F. No. 23-31/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that it has earlier given permission for the import of the digital printing press with vintage life of more than five years taking into account that the machines are refurbished at the OEM, have a residual life of 10 years as per the CEC and are provided warranty same as the new ones by the company. However, it was observed that regular consideration of such applications for import of machines more than 5-6 year old for trading purpose is not in consonance with the general policy of vintage life of five years followed by the Ministry. Since import and trade of such printing press by the company is apparently now a regular business and is not to address any emergent condition, such import shall be as per the general norms followed by the Ministry. Accordingly, the Ministry only recommended the import of machine with YOM of 2010.

2.1.8 M/s GE BE Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore &M/s Wipro GE Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. 5-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the items to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from this Ministry.

2.1.9 M/s Semi-Conductor Laboratory, Deptt. of Space, Mohali, Punjab (F. No. 23-174/2013-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the items to be imported by Department of Space fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D thus exempting them from the need of taking permission from this Ministry.

2.1.10 M/s Applied Materials India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. 23-116/2014-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016, the import of used EEE for R&D and other related purpose are exempted from need of taking permission from the Ministry if being re-exported within 3 years, under Schedule III D.

2.1.11M/s LSI India Research & Development Pvt. Ltd. Bangalore (F.no. 23-266/2013-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016, the import of used EEE for R&D and other related purpose are exempted from need of taking permission from the Ministry if being re-exported within 3 years, under Schedule III D.

2.1.12 M/s Continental Automotive Components (I) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F.No. 23-28/2016-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016, the import of used EEE for R&D and other related purpose are exempted from need of taking permission from the Ministry if being re-exported within 3 years, under Schedule III D.

2.1.13 M/s EsselShyam Communication Ltd., Noida (F. No. 23-47/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that most of the items proposed to be imported are of 2006-2008 make and only about 6 items are of post 2010 make. Considering the age of most of the items the Ministry did not recommend the import.

2.1.14 M/s Asia Heart Foundation, Kolkata (F. No. 23-2/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the item is of 2013 make and has been supplied by the manufacturer with 10 years of service life and is covered under same comprehensive maintenance contract as the new equipment. The Committee was informed that adequate service facilities are available in India for carrying out maintenance. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of medical equipment (robotic surgical equipment).

2.1.15 M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016, the import of used EEE for R&D and other related purpose are exempted from need of taking permission from the Ministry if being re-exported within 3 years, under Schedule III D.

2.1.16 M/s Yenepoya University, Mangalore (F.no. 23-35/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the item is of 2013 make and has been supplied by the manufacturer with 10 years of service life and is covered under same comprehensive maintenance contract as the new equipment. The Committee was informed that adequate service facilities are available in India for carrying out

maintenance. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of medical equipment (robotic surgical equipment).

2.1.17 M/s MIC Electronics Ltd., Hyderabad (F.No. 23-41/2016):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 (HW Rules, 2016) notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the item to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from this Ministry.

2.1.18 M/s Communications Test Design India Pvt. Ltd.(CTDI), Gurgaon (F. No. 23-45/2012-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 (HW Rules, 2016) notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the item to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from this Ministry.

2..19 M/s Oberthur Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Noida (F. No. 23-94/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that this is a machine to transfer personal data onto the cards. As per the CEC the residual life of the machine is 10 years. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

2.1.20 M/s Bloom energy(India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-161/2015-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 (HW Rules, 2016) notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 , the item to be imported fall in the category of other wastes as listed in Schedule III Part D which are applicable for import and export without permission from this Ministry.

2.1.21 M/s Texas Instruments (India) Pvt. Ltd. (F.No.23-36/2014-HSMD),Bangalore:

Decision: The Committee noted that the testing equipment proposed to be imported are of 2012 and 2013

make and as per CEC from the exporting country the residual life is 5 years. The Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.22 M/s Dow chemical International Pvt. Ltd. (F.no. 23-259/2013-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the undertaking submitted by the applicant's principals regarding accepting of the equipment after its failure or breakdown as reported by the associate group company in Chennai, the Ministry recommended the import of 9 nos. of used computer equipment.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP, ETC.

2.2.1 M/s Bhagwati Meralloys Pvt. Ltd., Patna (F. No. 23-168/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Subsequent to the submission of site visit report by CPCB it is seen that the unit is not using alkali in the scrubber and also does not have membership of TSDF for disposal of slag and other hazardous waste. The Committee suggested that a confirmation should be received from the applicant that they will meet the above two requirements before the case is re-considered for import.

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1 M/s Ganesha Ecosphere Ltd., Delhi (F. No. 17-9/2010-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016, the import of various kinds of plastic scrap/waste for recycling purposes, which now falls in Schedule VI listing Hazardous and Other Wastes is prohibited. Accordingly, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.3.2 M/s Ganpati Industries Unit-I (F.no. 17-4/2016-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 the import of various kind of plastic scrap/waste for recycling purpose, which now falls in Schedule VI listing Hazardous and Other Wastes is prohibited. Accordingly, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.3.3 Sri Krishna Polymers, Ghaziabad (F.N.o.17-3/2016):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 the import of various kind of plastic scrap/waste for recycling purpose, which now falls in Schedule VI listing Hazardous and Other Wastes is prohibited. Accordingly, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

2.3.4 M/s BLS Ecotech Ltd., New Delhi(F. No. 17-9/2014-HSMD):

Decision: As per the new Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 notified vide GSR No. 395(E) dated 4th April, 2016 the import of various kind of plastic scrap/waste for recycling purpose, which now falls in Schedule VI listing Hazardous and Other Wastes is prohibited. Accordingly, the application is rejected by the Ministry.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.

2.4.1 M/s Agarwal Reclaim & Rubber Products Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (F. No. 23-282/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the report of site visit of CPCB and subsequent improvements made by the applicant as shown in the video CD submitted by the applicant, the Ministry recommended the import of 7000 MT of used rubber/butyl tubes scrap.

2.4.2 M/s Green Rubber Crumb Pvt. Ltd., Raigad (F.no. 23-186/2014):

Decision: From the inspection report of CPCB it is noted that certain improvements have been suggested in respect of providing air pollution control devices on various equipment. Also it appears that the equipment are located in various sheds and a number of similar units recycling waste rubber tyres are operating in the same premises. Two of these units namely that of Mumbai Fabrics and Royal Carbon have earlier been visited by the Committee's Chairman. The Committee recommended that all the units in the premises for recycling of waste rubber tyres should be visited together by a sub committee comprising of Shri R. K. Garg, Chairman; Shri Dang and Shri Anand Kumar, Member.

2.4.3 M/s ResilineRubtech Pvt. Ltd., Surat, Gujarat (F. No. 23-46/2016-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the presentation by the applicant and the photographs of the equipment and air pollution control systems, the Committee recommended import of 300 MT of old/used rubber tyres scrap/tubes scrap(radial/non-radial) with multiple cuts in the first instance. The balance quantity of 800 MT will be considered subsequent to the site visit by CPCB.

2.4.4 M/s Quality Suittings Pvt. Ltd., Rajasthan (F. No. 23-31/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the proposed import of tyre chips/shredded tyres is for use in the continuous pyrolysis plant. As per the presentation and the documents, the operation of the continuous pyrolysis plant is as per the SOP prescribed by the Ministry and the certificate from SPCB in this regard has also been enclosed. As a cautious approach adopted previously with respect to import for pyrolysis purpose, in the instant matter also the Committee recommended a site visit by sub committee comprising of Shri R.K. Garg, Chairman; Shri G.S. Dang, Expert Member and Shri Anand Kumar, CPCB.

2.4.5 M/s S.P. Rubbers, Chittoor, A.P (F. No. 23-234/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the inclusion of general currency area countries along with UK in the permission granted by the Ministry for import.

2.4.6 M/s Oyster Industries Pvt. Ltd., Silvassa (F.No.23-88/2015- HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the inclusion of GCA countries along with UK and UAE in the permission granted by the Ministry for import.

2.4.7 M/s Mumbai Fabrics Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. Bo. 23-73/2013-HSMD):

Decision: This particular application was observed to have linkage with agenda 2.4.2 above as it appeared that the equipment are located in various sheds and a number of similar units recycling waste rubber tyres are allegedly operating in the same premises using and sharing common equipment as per the CPCB report. Two of these units namely that of Mumbai Fabrics and Royal Carbon have earlier been visited by the Committee's Chairman. The Committee recommended that all the units in the premises for recycling of waste rubber tyres should be visited together by a sub committee comprising of Shri R. K. Garg, Chairman; Shri Dang and Shri Anand Kumar, Member before consideration of the cases of any of the unit located in the premises.

2.4.8 M/s Miracle Reclaim Rubber Coimbatore Pvt. Ltd., Kerala (F. No. 23-50/2016-HSMD):

Decision: Being a first timer, the Committee recommended a site visit by CPCB.

2.4.9.M/s Surya Rubber Industries (23-86/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the evidence submitted by the applicant to the Ministry in the form of photographs incorporating air pollution control devices as per the Decision of CPCB team, the Ministry recommended import of 2300 MT of tyre scrap.

2.4.10 M/s Shivalik Reclamations Pvt. Ltd., (F.No. 23-60/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended for extension of the period of the existing permission for import of balance quantity of 2000 MT of used rubber tyres scrap for another 12 months.

2.4.11 M/s S&J Granulate Solutions(P) Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 5-19/2011-HSMD(pt.):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been already given permission for import of 7000 MT of used scrap tyres with multiple cuts in March 2016 for making crumb rubber. With this permission the applicant has been given equivalent to 50 percent of his consented capacity. Normally the Committee has been recommending upto one third of the capacity. Only in case of very good environmental performance and providing all details of supply of the product namely crumb rubber, the Committee consider for recommendation upto 50 percent of the capacity. The Committee recommended that the present application for import of additional 4000 MT of used scrap tyre for exporting crumb rubber to a party who is supplying the scrap tyres, may be considered for import in the next financial year.

2.4.12 M/s India Eco Venture Tyre Recycling(P) Ltd., Alwar, Rajasthan(F. No. 23-200/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The unit has been inspected by CPCB which has suggested some improvements, the Committee therefore recommended to forward the suggestions as recommended by CPCB to the applicant and to obtain confirmation of incorporating the improvements in the form of photographs.

2.4.13 M/s Nutec Oil and Energy Pvt. Ltd., Chennai (F. No. 23-306/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The unit had been inspected by CPCB which had suggested some improvements. The unit was noted to not meet the SOP requirement as prescribed by this Ministry, the Committee therefore recommended to forward the suggestion to the applicant and to obtain confirmation of incorporating the improvements which will be verified through site visit by CPCB.

AGENDA NO.2.5: EXPORT OF FERROUS AND NON-FERROUS MATERIALS & OTHER SCRAP

2.5.1 M/s Greenscape Eco Management Pvt. Ltd., Alwar, Rajasthan (F. No. 23-45/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant's unit is 100 percent Export Oriented Unit. As per the Noida Special Economic Zone, the applicant's unit has the mandatory obligation to export all e-waste rejects, scrap, repaired and refurbished items, ferrous and non-ferrous items etc. The Committee therefore recommended the export of 785 MT of the aforesaid items.