

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by Secretary (E&F) on the basis of recommendation of the 50th meeting of Expert Committee for appraisal of such applications.

AGENDA NO.2.1: IMPORT OF USED EEAS ON LOAN/ TESTING PURPOSE/ PROJECTS/ R&D / REPAIR /RETURNABLE BASIS ETC:

2.1.1 M/s Samsung India Electronics Pvt Ltd., Noida(No.23-86/2011-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee considered the import of five numbers of calibration equipment. After calibration, all these calibration equipment will be exported back within three months. The Committee recommends the import with a provision that the said equipment will be re-exported back within a year or within three months after completion of the calibration, whichever is earlier.

2.1.2: M/s Symbol Technologies India Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore (23-102/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the 49 pieces of used desk phones and one networking equipment have already been imported without prior permission of this Ministry. The Committee therefore recommends that the entire consignment should be re-exported as the import is illegal as per the HWM Rules, 2008.

2.1.3 : M/s Dell India Pvt. Ltd. Bangalore, Karnataka (No. 5-12/2013- HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had already been accorded permission for import of 70537 refurbished computer parts on the condition that equivalent number of defective components will be re-exported. Now the party has requested to permit import of 40050 refurbished parts as against 70537. The Committee recommends import of 40,050 refurbished parts with the condition that equivalent number of defective components will be re-exported.

2.1.4 : M/s Rolex Logistics, Bangalore (No. 23-151/2014-HSMD)

Decision: During presentation, the representative informed the Committee that defective items have been exported and 1015 no.

of refurbished Network equipment are being imported after repair and refurbishing. However, no export bill indicating the export of the items was enclosed. Further, he could not provide information on the number of items already exported. The Committee therefore did not recommend the import.

2.1.5: M/s IBM India Private Limited, Bangalore (No. 23-30/2011-HSMD (Vol I))

Decisions: With respect to two applications are as follows:

- i. The Committee recommended extension of the validity of the earlier period of export up to 31.12.2014 in view of the fact that the project has still not been completed.
- ii. The committee also recommended import of 13400 nos. of refurbished spare parts for providing warranty / maintenance to customers. The defective parts will be re-exported within a period of one year from the date of import.

2.1.6: M/s Tata Consultancy Services, Noida (No. 23-8/2012-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the items (101 items (POS (cash register) with printer, LCD monitor, keyboard, Scanner, Adapter, Scanner register)) are being imported for a specific project work and these items shall be re-exported within a year from the date of import. The Committee therefore recommends the above import.

2.1.7: M/s Persistent Systems Ltd, Pune (No. 23-107/2014-HSMD).

Decision: After presentation by the applicant, the Committee noted that all the imported equipment would be re-exported within 12 months. The Committee therefore recommends the import of 32 nos. of used Sisco equipments for project purpose.

2.1.8. M/s Alcatel-Lucent India Ltd., Gurgaon (No. 23-68/2011-HSMD).

Decisions: With respect to two applications

- i. The Committee was informed that the items (10 sets of used/old servers accessories) are required for Trial and Demo and not for R&D as mentioned in the NOC. The committee recommended amendment of the NOC.
- ii. The committee also recommended the import of 19 nos. of used telecommunication/networking equipments (YOM 2012 & 2013) for trial and Demo and re-export within 6 months from the date of import.

2.1.9: M/s Livingston India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (No. 23-156/2012-HSMD)

Decisions: With respect to two applications

- i.** The Committee noted that against their earlier permission to import 515+2000 items under two separate permissions they have imported only 414 items and re-exported 147 items. Earlier permission was for re-export within one year from the date of import. Now they want extension for further export of remaining items in a period of three years from the date of import. The Committee recommended permission for re-export within two years from the date of import.
- ii.** In respect of the fresh application for import of 2710 items (used Test & Measurement equipments), the Committee suggested that a realistic estimate of the requirement should be made and then a fresh application may be submitted.

2.1.10: M/s Core Centric Solutions India Pvt. Ltd, Pune (No. 5-4/2012-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee recommended extension of validity period by another six months. The permission was granted to them for import of 3180 nos of defective/damaged circuit boards for research, testing and evaluation with re-export within one year vide dated 25th May, 2012.

2.1.11: M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-26/2014-HSMD).

Decision: Since the items (28+37 nos. of used / electronic goods) are being imported for use and re-export within 36 months from the date of issue of permission. The Committee recommended the import.

2.1.12: M/s Juniper Network Pvt. India Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-120/2014-HSMD).

Decision: The committee was informed that these items (2128 nos. of used networking equipment, computers and laptops) are meant for R&D work and are being imported from their principal company in the US. The year of manufacture varies from 2008-2011. The committee was informed that they would be sent back after use. However, in the absence of undertaking in writing and the period in which the items will be sent back, the committee deferred the decision.

2.1.13: M/s Ericsson India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-81/2011-HSMD)

Decision: The committee was informed that during the process of repair/ refurbishment the quantity of waste generated will be only a few kg per month. The committee recommended the above import (6400+13000 nos. of faulty EEAs).

2.1.14: M/s Philips India Ltd., Gurgaon (No.23-207/2013-HSMD)

Decision: The committee was informed that these equipments (06 nos. of CT, MRI, Ultrasound and PET CT) have been manufactured in 2005 to 2014. Refurbishing has been done by Philips itself in 2014. The customers for these items are already identified and items carry warranty of 2 years followed by AMC. The committee recommended the above import.

2.1.15 M/s Keysight Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (F.No. 23-150/2014-HSMD).

Decision After presentation by the applicant and from the documents it is seen that these refurbished parts will be used to meet warranty commitment and maintenance of instruments supplied by the applicant ((i)Used/ second electronic equipment parts and accessories (750 Nos.); (ii) Used/ second hand electronic equipment and accessories(1500 nos.); and (iii) Refurbished electronic parts and assemblies (PCB/assemblies, Modules/hardware assemblies, Accessories/kits etc. – 3000 Nos.). These parts will supplied from OEM in US, China, Malaysia and Germany. The defective parts will be re-exported within one year from the date of import. The Committee therefore, recommended the import of 3000+750+1500 refurbished parts.

2.1.16 M/s Ind- Sphinx Precision ltd. H.P.(23-170/2014-HSMD).

Decision: Since the machine is essentially a mechanical machine (01 No of second hand Rollomatic Tool Grinding Machine Grind Smart CNC620XS with standard accessories) and cannot be categorized as used electric and electronic assemblies and therefore it does not fall under the purview of HWM Rules, 2008.

AGENDA NO.2.2: IMPORT OF USED EEAS FOR THEIR RE-USE ETC:

2.2.1: M/s Abbott Medical Optics Private Limited, Gurgaon (23-54/2012- HSMD): The applicant has submitted two applications:

Decision: With respect to two applications:

The Committee noted that all the 17+31+3 (refurbished parts for eye operating machine to be used in Excimer laser, Phacoemulsification system & Diode Laser) parts were refurbished by OEM. However, the applicant was asked to submit the following:

(a) The evidence in respect of all the 51 parts for their refurbishment along with refurbishment date by the OEM itself.
(b) The list of potential customers to whom these parts will be supplied.
(c) The indicative copy of annual maintenance contract with the potential customers by Abbot Medical Optics Private Limited, India and
(d) Form 7&8 which is not correct. The Committee therefore deferred the decision.

2.2.2: Hannover Re Risk Management Services Pvt. Ltd., Delhi (23-134/2014- HSMD).

Decision: The Committee was informed that this equipment with accessories (one set of video conferencing equipment with accessories (16 nos.)) is being imported from the principal company in Hongkong since the office in Hongkong is being shifted to Malaysia and Malaysia already has the particular equipment. The equipment is of 2010 make and is accompanied by CEC. The Committee therefore recommended the import.

2.2.3: M/s Secure Meters Ltd., Udaipur (No.23-28/2012-HSMD)

Decision: The committee noted that the bell prover is essentially mechanical equipment and cannot be categorized as electrical and electronic assemblies. Therefore, the permission is not required under the H.W.M. Rules.

2.2.4: M/s Groz-Beckert Asia Pvt. Ltd., Chandigarh (No.23-25/ 2012-HSMD)

Decision: The committee noted that all the machines (02+01+01 second hand and reconditioned Grinding machine Lite-speed 1.479 and R-Combination 1.280) are mechanical machines for straightening and grinding of needles and cannot be categorized as electrical and electronic assemblies. Therefore, the permission is not required under the HWM Rules.

2.2.5: MMTC-PAMP India Pvt. Ltd, Guragon (No. 23-154/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the equipment (used hydraulic press type "Combipress KN400" 40 ton S.N.20 D 054) is basically mechanical machine and does not fall in the category of Electronic and Electrical Assemblies and therefore does not come in the purview of the HWM Rules, 2008.

2.2.6: M/s PCI Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-223/2012-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the equipment (two refurbished PGA-12D Photoacoustic Gas Analyser (Transfix) with Standard Accessories (01) and 1.6 manifold assembly (01)) has already been imported without the permission of the Ministry. The Committee therefore recommends re-export.

2.2.7: M/s Musashi Auto Parts India Pvt. Ltd., Rewari (No. 23-149 /2014-HSMD)

Decision: These machines (CNC LATHE with standard accessories) are essentially mechanical and do not come under the category of EEAs. Therefore, does not require permission from the Ministry.

2.2.8: M/s V.tech Communications, Faridabad (No. 23-119/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the equipment (5 Nos. of used Telecom Test and measurement equipment) have already been imported without the prior permission of the Ministry as required under HWM Rules, 2008. The Committee therefore recommends re-export.

2.2.9: M/s NI Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No. 23-127/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the items (159 nos. of EEAs) are meant for calibration purposes and will be sent back within six months. Since the applicant has not submitted the undertaking in writing, the committee deferred the decision.

2.2.10: M/s Cargill India Pvt. Ltd., Rajpura (No. 23-133/2014-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the equipment (02 nos. of FOSS Feed and Forage Near Infrared Analyzer) has been manufactured in 2002. Moreover, the CEC does not specifically mentioned about the inspection carried out from the functionality point of view. The Committee therefore did not recommend the case.

2.2.11: M/s Hoya Medical India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-155/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the 01 unit of surgical used workstation has already been imported without prior permission of this Ministry and as per HWM Rules, 2008, this is an illegal import. The Committee therefore recommended re-export of the same.

2.2.12: M/s Applied Materials India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-116/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that 14 parts (used & refurbished E&E goods and EEAs) have already been imported without prior permission of the Ministry and the Committee recommends that they should be re-exported. In respect of the 810 items proposed to be imported the YOM is 2002. But the Committee was informed that they are electrical items. A CEC states that the items are functional and have a residual life of five years. The items will be re-exported within two years from the date of import. The Committee recommended import of 810 wafer manufacturing parts.

2.2.13: M/s Oberthur Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Noida (U.P.)(No.23-141/2013-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the machine along with accessories (1set of second hand 2 in 1 SIM Card Personalization machine along with fume extractor & Accessories and used EP 25T hans laser) has been manufactured in 2011 and is being imported from he sister concern namely, Oberthur Technologies, China. The Committee therefore recommended the import.

2.2.14: M/s Hewlett-Packard India Sales Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (No.23-31/2011-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the machines (05+01 nos. of refurbished HP Indigo r 5000 digital Printing Press and r7500) have been manufactured in a year 2007(4 nos), 2008 (1 no) and 2010 (1 no) and have been refurbished by the parent company in 2014. CEC indicates a residual life of 10 to 15 years. The Committee therefore recommended the import.

2.2.15: M/s Vinni Chemicals Pvt. LTd, Solan (H.P.) (No.23-163/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the equipment (01 set of second hand ICP Equipment (Machine) is manufactured in 1998. It is also not clear by whom the refurbishing has been done and there is no CEC. In view of this the Committee did not recommend the import.

2.2.16: M/s Nokia Solutions and Networks India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon and Bangalore (No.23-69/2011-HSMD).

Decision: With respect to two applications:

- i. The Committee noted that the 12000 units are being imported after repair is against similar defective items

exported earlier. The Committee recommended the import of 12000 units for Gurgaon R&D centre .

- ii. The 176 used equipments are being transferred from their own R&D centres in different countries and have been manufactured between 2010 and 2013. The Committee recommended the import of 176 units for Bangalore centre.

2.2.17: M/s Matenere Ltd., Delhi (No.23-17/2009-HSMD)

Decision: Considering that it is mere transfer of this equipment (two no. of hand-held XRF materials analysers and one no. of Sartorius Balance) to the parent company which had originally purchased them new, the Committee therefore recommends the import of above items.

AGENDA ITEM 2.3: IMPORT OF LEAD SCRAP/ BATTERY SCRAP

2.3.1- M/s Gravita India Ltd., Jaipur (No.23-24/2009-HSMD)

Decision: The Sub-Committee had earlier visited their facilities and was also granted permission to import RAINS (drained whole battery). The applicant now requests for the permission to import of 40000 MT of RAINs. Taking note of their capacity , the Committee, however, recommends import of only 20000 MTs of rains (used drained lead acid batteries).

2.3.2 - M/s HBL Power Systems Ltd., Hyderabad (No. 5-29/2010-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has the facility to recover silver from used silver zinc oxide batteries. They are also manufacturer of such batteries. The Committee therefore recommends import of 204 nos. of expired silver zinc oxide batteries.

2.3.3 -M/s Chloride Alloys Ltd., Kolar (Karnataka) (No.23-154/2014 HSMD).

Decision: During presentation the Committee observed certain deficiencies in the pollution control systems existing in the plant. The applicant has been asked to incorporate the following changes: (i) Acoustic enclosure for the crusher, (ii) Exhaust and scrubbing system for the crusher; and (iii) improvement in the housekeeping where the used batteries are handled. After the applicant sends intimation about incorporating the above improvements, a visit will be made and after that the case (import of 30,000 MT of Lead Acid Battery (Rains)) will be reconsidered. Till then the decision is deferred.

2.3.4 – M/s Agni Industries , Bhuleshwar, Mumbai.

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given permission to import 800 MTs of lead scrap earlier. The Committee is now recommending 800 MTs of lead scrap (Radio, Rakes, Ropes, Relay and Rails).

2.3.5. M/s Roshan Metals, Chennai (No.23-129/2013-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant is yet to install the automatic battery breaker with requisite pollution control systems such as, acoustic enclosure, fume extraction-cum-scrubbing system, etc. The Committee therefore did not recommend import of drained lead acid battery (Rains).

2.3.6 - M/s Jain Metal Rolling Mills, Thiruvallur (T.N.) (No. 23-130/2014-HSMD)

Decision: From the documents sent by the applicant, it is noted that the stack emission data has not been provided and the lead content in the blood of employees is high. In view of this the Committee suggested the site visit.

2.3.7. M/s Nile Ltd., Hyderabad (23-139/2014-HSMD)

Decision: During presentation it was observed that the crusher as well as the furnaces does not have exhaust and scrubbing system. The applicant has been asked to provide these systems and inform the Ministry. After that the plant will be visited and the matter will be considered. Till then the case is deferred.

2.3.8 – M/s Gold Star Battery Pvt. Ltd., Jamnagar (No.23-78/2009-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been earlier given permission for import of 6800 MT of Lead scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Relay, Rents, and Rails). The Committee recommended import of 7000 MT of lead scrap.

2.3.9 – M/s Metenere Ltd., Delhi (No.23-17/2009-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that they had been given permission for import of 30000 MT of fully drained lead acid batteries (rains). The Committee now recommends import of 30000 MTs of RAINS as against their application requesting permission to import 36000 MTs.

2.3.10- M/s Blue Star Buildcon Pvt. Ltd., Jhajjar (No.23-166/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has the requisite pollution control facilities including alkaline scrubber on

the furnace. The registered capacity of the plant is 15000 MTs per year. The Committee therefore recommends import of 5000 MTs of Lead Scrap (Racks, Relay, rakes, radio, Ropes, Rents and Rails).

2.3.11 M/s Starlit Power Systems Ltd, Mewat, Haryana (No.23-86/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted from the documents and the presentation that on the rotary furnace they have not installed alkaline scrubber. On receipt of confirmation of the installation of the scrubber with photographs, the application for permission for import of 6000 MTs of lead scrap (Radio, Racks and Rails) may be considered. The matter was deferred till then.

2.3.12 M/s Kaycee Batteries & Alloys Pvt. Ltd., Jalandhar (No.23-167/2014-HSMD).

Decision: Based on the performance report of the plant, the Committee recommends import of 2880 MT of Lead Scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Rakes, Rails, Rents and Relay) for recycling and recovery of lead.

2.3.13: M/s Sri Vaaru Metallurgicals P. Ltd., Kolar (No.23-17/2011-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that earlier permission had been granted for import of 2000 MT of lead scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Rakes, Rails, Rents and Relay) for recycling and recovery of lead. The Committee now recommends import of 2000 MT of Lead Scrap.

2.3.14: M/s Evershine Smelting Alloy Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (No.23-301/2012-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that earlier the applicant had been given permission for import of 1000 MT of Lead scrap. The Committee recommends import of 1000 MT of Lead Scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Rakes, Rails, Rents and Relay) for recycling and recovery of lead.

2.3.15: Pandy Oxide & Chemicals Ltd., Kancheepuram, TN (No.23-87/2009-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that earlier permission had been granted for import of lead scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Rakes, Rails, Rents and Relay) including Rains for recycling and recovery of lead. The Committee now recommends import of 10000 MT of Lead Scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Rakes, Rails, Rents and Relay).

2.2.16 M/s Vasoo Metals(23-8/2014-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has submitted the stack monitoring, ambient air monitoring, soil analysis, and waste water monitoring report. From the documents submitted by the applicant it is seen that all pollution control system have been installed and the performance report is satisfactory. In view of this the Committee recommends import of 1500 MT of lead scrap (Radio, Ropes, Racks, Rakes, Rails, Rents and Relay) for recycling and recovery of lead.

AGENDA NO. 2.4: IMPORT OF TYRE SCRAP / RUBBER SCRAP :

2.4.1 M/s A.P. Rubber Industries, Haridwar (No.23-91/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that a sub-committee has visited the facilities and have found good housekeeping and requisite pollution control systems in place. The Committee therefore recommended import of 1200 MTs of used rubber tyre scrap (with multiple cuts/press baled).

2.4.2 - M/s Tinna Rubber and infrastructure Ltd. , Panipat (NO.5-22/2010-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given permission earlier for import of 5000 MT tyre scrap (used & Old Tyres cut in 2 & 3 pcs.). The Committee recommends import of 5000 MT of tyre scrap (used & Old Tyres cut in 2 & 3 pcs.).

2.4.3 - M/s Sasa Rubbers Pvt. Ltd.,Morbi (Gujarat) (No.5-17/2012-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given permission earlier for import of rubber tyre scrap (cut in 2-3/ multi-shredded). The Committee recommends import of 10000 MTs of rubber tyre scrap (cut in 2-3/ multi-shredded).

2.4.4 - M/s Shree Balaji Rubber Industries, Moga, Punjab (No.23-131 /2014-HSMD)

Decision: From the documents and photographs sent by the applicant, it is seen all necessary pollution control system have been installed and housekeeping appears to be satisfactory. The Committee recommended import of 4500 MTs of old and used Tyres Scrap/tube scrap (Cut in 2 & 3 pcs/ shredded) for manufacturing of crumb rubber.

2.4.5 M/s Imrose Traders, Delhi (No.23-141/2014-HSMD)

Decision: From the documents and presentation made by the applicant the tyres to be imported are of cars and not of heavy duty vehicles. The applicant further informed that the cost of each tyre is likely to be more than \$ 25. The committee desired that the applicant should submit confirmation of these two points from the exporter. The decision in the matter was deferred.

2.4.6 - M/s Kerala Rubber & Reclaim Ltd., Kochi, Kerala (No. 23-26/ 2008-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee looked at the latest video sent by the applicant; however, it appears that the requisite pollution control systems (control of dust and fibre emission) have not been installed. The Committee therefore deferred the decision and to convey this comment to the applicant.

2.4.7 M/s Vishnu Reclamations, Rajahmundry (AP)(No. 23-5/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted the photographic evidence pertaining to installation of dust control system as suggested in the earlier meeting has been furnished. The Committee therefore recommends import of 900 MTs of waste rubber tyres (multiple cuts shreds/press baled) for production of reclaim rubber.

2.4.8 M/s Minar Reclamation Pvt. Ltd. Ludhiana, Punjab (No.23-240/ 2013-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission to import of tyre scrap (used and cut) earlier also. The Committee recommended import of 2000 MTs used /old tyres scrap/rubber scrap (Cut in 2& 3 pcs.).

2.4.9.: M/s Vavanje Crumb Rubber LLP, Mumbai (No. 5-21/2012- HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission to import tyre scrap (used and cut) earlier also. The Committee recommends import of 25000 MTs used rubber tyres (press baled or shredded/Multiple cuts) for manufacturing of crumb rubber.

2.4.10 : M/s Sri Eshwar Rubber Crumb, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh(No. 23-135/ 2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee heard the presentation made by the applicant and found the facility satisfactory. The Committee therefore recommended import of 5500 MTs of used rubber tyres

(waste parings and scrap of rubber (other than hard rubber) and powders and granules) for manufacturing of crumb rubber.

2.4.11- M/s Neelam Rubbers, Balasore, Odisha (F.No. 5-30/2013- HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has installed the requisite air pollution control system as suggested by the Committee in its earlier meeting. The Committee therefore recommended import of 2000 MTs Used Rubber Tyre Scrap (Multi Cut/ Shredded) Waste scrap of rubber.

2.4.12- M/s Bharathi Cement Corp. Pvt. Ltd., Kadapa Distt. (No. 23-248/2013-HSMD)

Decision: From the documents it was not clear as to how and from which kind of waste solid recovered fuel as well as refused derived fuel is made. It is also not clear as to what are the constituents and characteristics of this fuel including the calorific value. The Committee therefore recommends that the applicant may be invited to give a presentation in the next meeting.

2.4.13- M/s Zenish Reclamation, Morbi (Gujarat) (No.23-129/2014-HSMD)

Decision: From the presentation the Committee noted that the installation is satisfactory from the point of view of environment pollution control. The Committee therefore recommended import of 4500 MTs of used and old tyre/ rubber scrap.

2.4.14 - M/s Shital Rubber, Ahmedabad (No.23-98/2013-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given permission for import of 8000 MTs of used tyres earlier. The Committee now recommends import of 10000 MTs of used rubber tyres shredded/multi-cut/Tubes in two cuts.

2.4.15- M/s Jayanti Jain Wire Industries, Solan (H.P.) (No.23-62/2014-HSMD)

Decision: From the video submitted by the applicant, it appears that there is no air pollution control system (for arresting dust and fibre emission). The Committee therefore suggested that a visit should be made to confirm whether the pollution control facilities are in place.

2.4.16 - M/s Target Rubber, Mumbai (No.5-6/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the matter was considered in the earlier meeting of the Committee and with respect to committee's suggestions the applicant has now sent information about the installation of the dust control system and further

informed that that only radial tyre will be processed and as such no fibre will be generated. He has also sent the photographs. In view of this the committee recommends import of 30000 MTs of used rubber tyres/radial tyres/muticut/shredded/ press baled.

2.4.17- M/s Talampally Rubbers Pvt. Ltd., Bidar (No.23-117/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee heard the presentation. The applicant was not able to produce evidence of requisite air pollution control systems. The applicant was advised to send to the Committee a video of the running plant specifically showing the air pollutions control systems over the cracker as well as sieving tables. On receipt of this information the matter will be reconsidered. The matter was deferred.

2.4.18. M/s Avlan Rubber Industry, Guntur (A.P.) (F. No. 23-90 / 2014-HSM) :

Decision: From the presentation, the Committee noted that all requisite air pollution control system is incorporated in the plant. However, the decision has been deferred till obtaining a site inspection report from concerned SPCB/ Regional office of CPCB.

2.4.19M/s Peters tyre recycling, Kerala (F.No. 5-4 / 2014-HSMD).

Decision: On the basis of the presentation, the Committee noted that the required emission control system and fire fighting arrangements are existing in the plant. However, the decision was deferred till obtaining a site inspection report from concerned SPCB/ Regional office of CPCB.

2.4.20 M/s Millennium Greentech India Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (F.No. 5-23/2010- HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant intends to import scrap tyres for pyro-processing to obtain fuel oil and carbon. The Committee was informed that the matter regarding allowing pyro-processing is under the consideration of DGFT and Ministry of Petroleum. The applicant informed the Committee that the case has been considered favorably by them. The Committee therefore decided to consider the matter once an official communication is received from DGFT. The matter was deferred.

2.4.21 M/s Precision Auto, Baddi (H.P.) (F.No. 23-61/2014-HSMD).

Decision: From the video submitted by the applicant, it appears that there is no air pollution control system (for arresting dust and fibre emission). The Committee therefore suggested that a

visit should be made to confirm whether the pollution control facilities are in place.

2.4.22 M/s Shivalik Reclamation Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F.No. 23-60/2009- HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been earlier given permission for import of tyre scrap. The Committee also noted that the applicant has expanded the capacity. In view of this the Committee recommends import of 10,000 MT of tyre scrap_(used & Old tyres cut in 2/3 pcs.).

2.4.23 - M/s Lalitha rubber Industries, Godavari (A.P.) (No.23-147/2014-HSMD):

Decision: From the presentation it was seen that there is no dust /fibre emission control system installed in the plant. The applicant has been asked to provide the emission control system and send the video as evidence of the installation. The matter will be re-considered after receipt of this information. The matter was deferred.

2.4.24 - M/s Klean Industries, Thane (Maharashtra) (No.5-15/2014-HSMD):

Decision: From the presentation it was seen that there is no pollution control system incorporated to arrest any particulate or fibrous material emanating from the crushing and handing operations. In view of this the Committee deferred the decision.

2.4.25 - M/s Vinora Industries, Chennai (No.23-136/2014-HSMD).

Decision: From the photographs sent by the applicant it appears that no air pollution control systems have been installed and the poor working conditions. The Committee suggested site visit before the matter could be considered further.

2.4.26 - M/s Horizon Reclaim (India) Pvt. Ltd., Haridwar (No.23-112/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had earlier been granted permission to import used rubber tyres for producing reclaimed/ crumb rubber. The Committee recommended import of 10000 MT of Used rubber tyres and used Tubes Scrap (Cut. Baled and Pressed/uncut/one cut in bead wire/single or multiple cut/shredded) for manufacturing of rubber reclaim.

2.4.27 - M/s SBJ Rubber Products, Shamali (U.P.) (No.23-125/2014-HSMD)

Decision: Based on the documents and photographs submitted by the applicant, the Committee recommends the import of 1500 MTs of Old & Used rubber tyres (Cut/Shredded/Press Baled/one cut in bead wire) for manufacturing of rubber reclaim.

AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF PET SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/ACRYLIC PLASTIC

2.5.1: M/s Mahalakshmi Spintex Pvt. Ltd., Solan, Himachal Pradesh(17-14/2014-HSMD).

Decision: Based on the presentation and the capacity as per DIC, the Committee recommends import of 1500 MTs of waste pet bottles. In case the capacity as per DIC/ CTO is increased the additional quantity of import could be permitted.

2.5.2: Sri Balaji Enterprises, Madurai District, Tamilnadu (17-13/2014- HSMD)

Decision: Based on the presentation made by the applicant, the Committee recommended import of 150 MT of virgin LDPE film scrap for the production of LDPE granules.

2.5.3: M/s Globe Products, Jamnagar, Gujarat (No.17-7/2010-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was earlier granted permission for import of 500 MT of acrylic plastic sheet scrap. The Committee now also recommends import of 500 MT of Acrylic Plastic Sheets Scrap.

2.5.4: M/s Rajmudra Thermoplastics, District Pune (No. 23-118 /2014- HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant intends to import 300 MTs of LDPE virgin scrap and has consent for 500 MT per month. The unit has the requisite equipment for processing to make granules. The Committee recommended import of 300 MTs virgin LDPE scrap.

2.5.5 - M/s Rajdeep Plastics, Jamnagar (No.17-7/2013-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant have earlier been granted permission for import of 500 MT acrylic plastic sheets scraps. The Committee now also recommends import of 500 MT of acrylic plastic sheets scraps.

2.5.6 - M/s Chirag Enterprise, Jamnagar (No.17-29/2009-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has earlier been granted permission for import of 1000 MT acrylic plastic sheets scraps. The Committee now also recommends import of 1000 MT of acrylic plastic sheets scraps.

AGENDA NO.2.6: IMPORT OF FERRO MANGANESE SLAG :

2.6.1 : M/s Modern India Con-Cast Limited, Kolkatta (F.No.5-12/2012-HSMD)

Decision: The applicant had earlier been granted permission for import of 13000 MT of Ferro Manganese Slag for manufacturing silico-manganese. The Committee again recommended import of 13000 MT of Ferro Manganese Slag.

AGENDA NO. 2.7: IMPORT OF GLASS CULLET SCRAP ETC:

2.7.1 M/s Saint Gobain Glass India Ltd., Kanchipuram (F. No.23-56-2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee noted that the cullets proposed to be imported are from float glass sheets. The Committee therefore recommends import of 15000 MT of glass cullets.

AGENDA NO. 2.8: IMPORT OF NICKEL ALLOY SCRAP & WASTE MINERAL OILS:

2.8.1 M/s A.R. Alloys (p) Ltd, Delhi (F.No. 5-16/ 2014-HSMD).

Decision: In the 49th Meeting, the technical representative of the applicant was invited for presentation in the next meeting. Even in this meeting no one from the applicant's company turned up. The Committee therefore deferred the matter.

2.8.2 M/s Midland Oil Co. Ltd., Navi Mumbai (No. 23-126/2012- HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the item proposed to be imported is categorized in Scheduled-III (A) as A-3020. However, for recycling the rules stipulate certain specifications as per Scheduled-V(A). From the documents sent by the applicant no analysis of the oil proposed to be imported is given. The applicant will be asked to submit the analysis from the exporting country and details about the source of its generation. The matter is deferred.

2.8.3 M/s Shikhar Sai Oils Ltd, Pithampur (Dhar)-M.P. (F.No.23-49/2012-HSMD (Pt.).

Decision: The Committee noted that the item proposed to be imported is categorized in Scheduled-III (A) as A-3020. However, for recycling the rules stipulate certain specifications as per Scheduled-V(A). From the documents sent by the applicant no analysis of the oil proposed to be imported is given. The applicant will be asked to submit the analysis from the exporting country and details about the source of its generation. The matter is deferred.

AGENDA NO. 2.9: IMPORT OF GRAPHITE SCRAP:

2.9.1 M/s Bhartia Alloys, Nagpur (No. 5-28/2013- HSMD)

Decision: From the presentation, the Committee noted that the import relates to waste graphite scrap and it appears to be from another user industry. Since large quantity of such waste is already generated in the country and is not getting fully utilized, the Committee did not recommend the import.

AGENDA No.2.10: IMPORT OF SOLID RECOVERED FUEL (SRF)

2.10.1 M/s ACC Limited, New Delhi (23-249/2013-HSMD)

Decision: From the documents it was not clear as to how solid recovered fuel is made and from which kind of waste. It is also not clear as to what are the constituents and characteristics of this fuel including its calorific value. The Committee therefore recommends that the applicant may be invited to give a presentation in the next meeting.

AGENDA NO.2.11: IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT SCRAP

2.11.1 M/s Exigo Recycling Pvt. Ltd., Panipat (No.23-99/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The committee noted that documents submitted by the applicant only gives the process of dismantling and segregation of different material such as metals, plastic etc. and sending segregated the material to the recycler. During presentation however it was claimed that they have recovery facility for different metal as well. In order to ensure that these facilities are environmentally sound the committee recommends site visit by a sub-committee till then the decision is deferred.

2.11.2 M/s Eco-Recycling Ltd., Mumbai (No.23-87/2012-HSMD)

Decision: During the presentation the representative of the company was unable to explain on technical aspects of the

recycling and recovery process. The Committee therefore suggested the site visit by the Chairman. The decision on the project is deferred.

AGENDA NO. 3: EXPORT OF AUTOMOBILE CATALYST/E-WASTE ETC:

3.1.1 M/s Moogambigai Metal Refineries, Bangalore (No23-182/ 2013-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee recommended the export of 300 MT of e-waste (PCBs).

3.1.2 M/s Ecofriendly Metals Pvt. Ltd., Haryana (23-124/2014-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee recommends the export of 1000 MT of e-waste (PCB, Motherboard, Mobile, Lithium battery, Integrated circuits, Capacitors etc.)

3.1.3 M/s World Resources Company, Chennai (23-95/2009-HSMD).

Decision: The Committee noted that the item is classified as items A-1050 in Scheduled-III-A of HWM Rules, 2008. The party has submitted PIC from the German Authority. The Committee therefore recommends export of 1500 MT of galvanic sludge from India to their Germany recycling facility located in Wurzen, Germany for recycle.